

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,046	06/27/2003	Mustafa Pinarbasi	3478	
75	90 04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Hoyt & Knigh	t		TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
PO Box 1320 Pioneer, CA 9	95666		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,046	PINARBASI, MUS	TAFA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		eet with the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, on. period will apply and will expire SIX ( statute, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 January 200 <u>6</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5,8-17 is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	vithdrawn from considera		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	·	=	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	ments have been receive	d.	
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B     See the attached detailed Office action for	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	8) Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		er:	, <u> </u>
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20060329

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The applicant(s) response filed on January 23, 2006 has been fully considered and made of record.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The rejections are hereby repeated below merely for the convenience of the applicant(s).

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 2-5 and 8-17 continue to stand as being withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 15, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinarbasi 5,883,764, referred to hereinafter as Pinarbasi '764, in view of Dates et al 3,484,284.

Pinarbasi'764 discloses a method of fabricating a transducer comprising: forming a magnetic sensor which is a spin valve (see Fig. 4); forming first and second leads 440, 445 for the sensor by the following steps: fabricating first and second thin film tantalum pads 446 in electrical contact with the magnetic sensor at first and second locations, the first and second

٤٠

Application/Control Number: 10/609,046

Art Unit: 3729

A -4 T.T.- '4- 2720

locations being noncontiguous; fabricating thin film chromium pads 447 on the first and second tantalum pads; and fabricating thin film tantalum 448 pads on the thin film chromium pads.

Regarding Claim(s) 6, Pinarbasi'764 further teaches that the first and second locations are on opposing areas of an upper surface (surface area of free layer 410) of the magnetic sensor and where the first and second tantalum pads are in physical contact with the upper surface of the magnetic sensor (see Fig. 4).

Regarding Claim(s) 7, Pinarbasi'764 further teaches forming first and second hard bias structures 430, 435 disposed on opposing sides of the sensor and where the first and second leads are respectively overlaid on the first and second hard bias structures and the first and second leads extend onto an upper surface (top surfaces of 430, 435) of the magnetic sensor and are in physical contact with the upper surface of the magnetic sensor.

In summary above, Pinarbasi'764 teaches substantially all of the limitations of the claimed invention except that the material of the pads formed on the thin film chromium pads is "rhodium".

Dates teaches that leads can be formed of a conductive material, or electroconductive material, with alternative materials of rhodium or tantalum (see col. 4, lines 2-10) for the advantages of providing high power capacity and low contact resistance (see col. 3, lines 2-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the rhodium material of Dates for the tantalum material formed by the tantalum pads on the thin film chromium pads of Pinarbasi'764, to advantageously provide an alternative conductive material and to provide high power capacity and low contact resistance for the spin valve.

# Response to Arguments

5. The applicant(s) arguments filed on January 23, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the merits of Pinarbasi'764 and Dates et al, it appears that the applicant(s) believe that these references cannot be combined because Dates does not teach how to make leads for a spin valve.

The examiner traverses to the extent the both Pinarbasi'764 and Dates share a common inventive concept, and are analogous, as to solving the problems associated with forming leads or pads (e.g. circuits in general) with a material of tantalum. Dates suggest that as an alternative material to tantalum for pads having electroconductivity, that rhodium can be used in place of it. Since each is solving the very same problem, the examiner's position is that one of ordinary skill in the art would look to Dates for the suggestion of alternative materials for other associated advantages. Furthermore, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference (e.g. Dates) may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference (e.g. Pinarbasi'764); nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,046

Art Unit: 3729

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,046

Art Unit: 3729

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

March 29, 2006

Page 6